OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL
SEMPRA ENERGY
Kimberly A. McDonnell (CSB No. 156976)
101 Ash Street, Suite 1100
San Diego, CA 92101-3017
Telephone (619) 696-4380
Facsimile (619) 696-4838

Attorneys for Defendants SEMPRA ENERGY and SEMPRA LNG

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAIGUT S.A. de C.V., a Mexican corporation; and SAIPEM S.A., a French corporation, Plaintiffs, v. SEMPRA ENERGY, a California corporation; SEMPRA LNG, a Delaware corporation; ENERGIA COSTA AZUL, S. de R.L., de C.V., a Mexican corporation; BVT LNG COSTA AZUL, S. de R.L. de C.V., a Mexican corporation; COSTA AZUL BMVT, S.A. de C.V., a Mexican corporation; BLACK & VEATCH CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation; TECHINT S.A. de C.V., a Mexican corporation; THE KLEINFELDER GROUP, INC., a California corporation; ARUP NORTH AMERICA LIMITED, a United Kingdom corporation; ARUP TEXAS, INC., a Texas corporation; WHESSOE OIL & GAS LIMITED, a United Kingdom corporation; Q&S ENGINEERING, INC., a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, Defendants.	Case No: 08 CV 0478 JM BLM **JOINT MOTION TO EXTEND THE TIME FOR DEFENDANTS SEMPRA ENERGY AND SEMPRA LNG TO RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT** **Judge: Jeffrey T. Miller**

Defendants Sempra Energy and Sempra LNG hereby submit this Joint Motion to extend the time in which Defendants must respond to the complaint an additional 30 days and 24 days, respectively, to May 8, 2008. This Joint Motion is made with reference to the following facts:

- On March 14, 2008, Plaintiffs filed a 50 page complaint, comprising 155 paragraphs of allegations and 12 causes of action, and naming 11 defendants, in addition to Sempra Energy and Sempra LNG, from three different countries.
- Sempra Energy was personally served on March 19, 2008 by delivery to its headquarters and its agent for service of process; it received personal delivery of the complaint again on March 25. Sempra Energy's response was thus due April 8, 2008.
- Sempra LNG was served by delivery on its agent for service of process on March 25, 2008, making its response due April 14, 2008.
- Good cause exists for an extension of time because Defendants need time to investigate adequately the claim alleged in this matter, as well as all potential defenses and/or counterclaims to those claims.
- Defendants have not sought or received any other extensions of time.
- Plaintiffs do not oppose and have agreed to Defendants' request that they have to and including May 8, 2008 to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint.

NOW THEREFORE, these moving parties respectfully request that this Court approve and enter an order in this matter as follows:

That defendants Sempra Energy and Sempra LNG are granted an additional 30 and 24 days, respectively, within which to respond to the Complaint, such responses being now due May 8, 2008.

WHEREFORE, these moving parties respectfully request that the Court approve this Joint Motion and issue the proposed order which has been sent electronically to the Court's chambers.

DATED: April 1, 2008

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL

By: /s/ Kimberly A. McDonnell
KIMBERLY A. MCDONNELL
Attorneys for Defendants
SEMPRA ENERGY and SEMPRA LNG